

4 (Click here to go back to the Index)

My 1st Asbo Response Bundle/ pub Book Issue: 1!

NON-DISCLOSURE /

Page Numbers: 425,426,427,428,429,430

--

To whom it may concern

I am writing this letter due to concerns of non-disclosure -

The reasons of concern are as follows:

1. Since the start of the Asbo **dated 12th September 2014** I have been explaining that the respondents application of an Asbo order should not rely quote "That a case should not rely solely on hearsay" as mine seems to do by police officer's with no witness present in court as I request to be, so that my barrister can question the truth of their statements and even further to the matter of witnesses I raise the concern of Most of the 999 intelligence calls being hearsay any case, reported by third+ MORE!"

425,

RE: SIMON CORDELL
V
THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
OF THE
METROPOLIS

APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPOSITION OF AN ASBO – 26TH SEPTEMBER 2016 AT 10:00 AM

- To whom it may concern
- I am writing this letter due to concerns of non-disclosure: -
- A. The reasons of concern are as follows:**
- Since the start of the Asbo **dated 12th September 2014** I have been explaining that the respondents application of an Asbo order should not rely quote "That a case should not rely solely on hearsay" as mine seems to do by police officer's with no witness present in court as I request to be, so that my barrister can question the truth of their statements and even further to the matter of witnesses I raise the concern of Most of the 999 intelligence calls being hearsay any case, reported by third party person and therefore does carry less weight in any sense.
 - I also want to show the true facts of the case as for I am the one who is suffering because of untrue cut and paste facts that represent the basics of the respondent case and that singed evidence being amongst other fabricated statement such as police statements with different annually incident **dates** but numeric order URN numbers,
 - I understand that a lot of the matters that should be dealt with at court will be but only if the disclosure that the judge ordered is served in time for appeal.
 - I still urge for a speedy and fair trial: and feel that when a judge asks the respondent to reply by a set **date** such as the **1/08/2016** as the judge has ordered to happen it should.
 - The respondent should do so within the time duration as **dated 01/09/2016** and agreed by the judge and then received with the correct response, as has not happened.
 - I have been awaiting the reply since **24/02/2016** from an ongoing civil application that is **dated 13th August 2014** so to be able to have a fair trial up and till **date**.

1

426,

- After waiting on the 01/-9-2016 with no response I waited till the 02/09/2016 and telephoned the respondent I spoke with a lady called sally gill Hurst, she states that she has served some paperwork to my solicitors at the beginning of august a month prior, after finishing our conversation I contacted my solicitor she explained to me that she was away on holiday and that I must wait till she gets back on the 6th September 2016.
- I again put the phone down and called my solicitor firms office to see if any paperwork had been served to be told no.
- On the 8TH OF August I spoke with my mother who explained to me that she had been in contact with my solicitor
- and that I would be reviving a letter to sign to be sent to Sally Guilchurst.

B. On receiving this letter, it raised the following concerns.

- The paperwork has not been served in time.
- In a preliminary hearing so to be ready for the appeal the judge ordered this to be achieved.
- The respondent has had another 6 months sine 22/02/2016 from the start of the on goings as dated 13/08/2014.
- We are now in 08/09/2016 the appeal is on the 26/09/2016 this leads me to the concerns of once again the case being postponed, as it has already been ten times before.
- I have handed in two Articles Six regarding mine and every person's rights to a speedy and fair trial, with issues of my concerns referring towards a multitude of my human rights being breached, because of the on goings in the ASBO proceedings that do draft clear corruption and fabricated evidence, with myself asking for the case to be investigated and the correct paperwork to be served in accordance to my response to **HHJ Pakared**.
- Yes, my instructions are clear I did not organise any illegal raves or provide any equipment with an intention of holding an illegal rave and surely did not cause any Anti-Social behaviour on the **dates** sighted, this is also to include Mill Marsh Lane with no exception.
- Yes, in some I am visiting my friends who are or where homeless at the time.

2

427.

- The legal technicality, to i.e., absence of trespass that does not prevent parties from being held in accordance with the law, I do agree may lead to a standalone anti-social behaviour order being granted if a person commits a public order offence, to which I did not cause as I was not organiser neither did, I take part in the organisation of the party or did I commit any civil or criminal offence.
- In any one un-regular occasion over the duration of the weekend I can; **A.** understand the noise nuisance and distress to neighbours this can cause if the allegations were to be true and not fabricated by police as I can prove.
- I was not the organiser of the event.
- The case is based on what the respondent based it upon and in my case, this is the Organisation of Illegal Raves not the organisation of raves: -
- So, I proved that indoor parties are not illegal unless there is a breach of the licensing act 2003 as this is the law for entertainment.
- That the word rave cannot be used by law in a building, such as it has within my case as for sure section 63 requires key elements, one being of the nature that "trespass must have taken place in private Air" as clearly is not the situations in None of the incidents that I have been found guilty of and now the conditions being imposed upon my statue.
- I proved that I was not the organiser to the events as I was not.
- That I never took part in any anti-social behaviour or intended or encouraged any other person to neither.

- Anti-social behaviour was not clearly caused as a result of the Progress Way by myself or my actions as I was only a visitor who never caused any offence.
- I feel any legal professional should have the truth and my best interest at heart.
- and if any person Knows a police officer to be caught for being corrupt for the evidence that they have supported so that a human being faced a wrongful convection of any sort that they should encourage them to stand up for what is correct and right.
- The response I made was already served on the **22/02/2016** and the Judge ask for the respondent to answer them questions by the **01/02/2016** and the respondent refuse to do so.

3

428,

- I do insist for the challenges to be answered as it is my life that has been tarnished for wrongful civil proceedings.
- I feel that the meeting with my barrister has been left to the last minute to no fault of my own I have been requesting this to be accomplished in a multitude of emails well in advance to the **date** that has now been made a few days before appeal.
- I do not see how the case will not get re listed due to lack of late disclosure to be quite frank due to no fault of my own but still at my life's expense.
- I do not feel that it is right or fair that I am being encouraged to go to appeal and my requests to the respondent not being replied to in time, that I believe will prove my innocents and will also clearly draft out the police corruption and wrongful conditions that I know have been imposed on myself.
- All Legal professionals should work in Co Hurst towards the understanding of noun precedent in relation to the weight of any evidence put towards a client.
- I am concerned about the case relying sole on hearsay by police.
- Is this correct in procedure?
- I do also understand and take note from the respondent's bundle that all resident parties contained within, were held on single occasions and in places of residence and where not held as a running commercial business by the occupiers or by myself to my knowledge.
- This has leded me to read that any person is entitled to have a house or resident party in private air under the licensing act 2003 or where they reside.
- To my understanding each accused incident in the respondent's bundle **is** a place of residence and was in fact different people holding their own private parties at their places of residence.
- Aloe there may have been complaints in regard to issues of concern about them house parties I was not the occupier to any of the accused locations; neither was I the hirer of equipment and surely not the organiser.
- I was establishing a hire company around the **dates** of the accused events and have provided evidence of the work I had been committing myself to.
- I was not trading at the time and whenever hiring out equipment I do with due care and responsibility, however I do not accept responsibility for other people's actions when hiring out such equipment in good faith.
- I do take legal action for any persons when breaking my terms and conditions.
- I do not hire out equipment to any person without being in the constraints of the law and in good business practice or without the correct ID.
- On one occasion I did hire out a sound system in good faith on a pro bono basis, this being of the understanding that no laws were being broken and as a Ltd

4

429,

company acting responsible.

- I know that I should not be liable for them persons actions when hiring out equipment and having the correct protocols in place as I clearly do.
- I do not feel that it is right for the respondent to obtain criminal punishments such as section 63 of the Crime and Disorder act 1994 and for that section to be then imposed against my freedom of movement & other Human Right's that have been breached by being pro-claimed under wrongful civil proceedings, as I have now suffered to a multitude of incorrect procedures, legalisation and wrongful claims that I have occurred, for instance I have no previous nurtured offences of a similar sort as required by law when applying a standalone Asbo on a person's statue, as I do feel I should have.
- Also, the case has made me feel that I have not had the right to challenge the allegations under a true Criminal investigation, especially when referring to the Organisation of Illegal Raves as the respondent has clearly headlined the offence to be.

C. I also have the listed concerns of: -

- I understand that the correct protocols for the offences I am being accused of should be carried out in a manner to be of a high professional standard as required by law, so for me to be able to defend myself.
- I am therefore not happy with the issues of police corruption not being addressed, by all legal persons, as I know I cannot stand a fair trial or appeal without them issues being rectified first.
- I have suffered since **2014** for conditions that have been wrongful imposed upon myself and still awaiting an appeal.
- Me and my acting solicitor drafted a letter requesting the respondent to remove any **CADS** that have been placed in the Asbo bundle, as I understand that the **cads** are already inputted incorrectly, leading to the further understanding that I could not have and did not commit the alleged offences that I have been accused of as a fast majority of the other **CADS** contained within the application are incorrect and them **cads** do have some blocked out context; such as the Att Locations that are redacted and the intelligence reports grid references do state Crown road and other locations such as Hardy Way, on the same day as progress way so I could not have committed as I could not be in two places at once.
- However, I am even further worried about **CADS** such as all the listed and any in the format such as fully retracted where know person can or is able to see the true **CAD** intelligence in regard to the wrongful fabricated claims being held against my person, so for all to be able to see the truth.
- I do believe when all **CADS** do get retracted and unblocked then that will help any barrister and put them in a better position to defend me, so for all **Cads** and **pages** in the Asbo application being served in an unedited format and

5

430

- so, for myself to understand the truth, to why the conditions have been imposed upon myself since the Asbo's on goings.
- I am also seriously worried about the reasons why the case has taken so long with all the evidence I have supported towards my innocent plea, such as: -
 - 1+ The incorrect time stamps.
 - 2+ The facts of the conditions of law relating to a section 63 of the crime and disorder act being imposed upon myself for wrongful claims of myself holding indoor house parties without tress pass taking place and no truth or evidence of myself causing anti-social behaviour.
 - 3+ The Events that I am being accused of no police officers have gone and spoken to any landlord's or owners.
 - 4+ There has been no evidence of a breach under the Licensing act 2003.

5+ No proof of organisation being present against myself.

6+ There is also the matter being of; all incident that are in the Asbo application with particulars to them members of the police involved, not having 101 books that are time stamped for them incidents and I once again would like to request them.

7+ In relation to all **cads** that do have a grid number of 53491,196790 and or Att location of Crown Road.

8+ I request that the police officers involved in attending that incident attended court so to be able to prove that what Pc Elesmore stated to the Judge at the magistrate's court on the **date** of trial to obtain a guilty plea against my person, not to be creditable in any weight, that being of all the statements that he made that are contained in a copy of the magistrate's court transcripts on the day of trial.

a. **Which does quote him saying that:** When making the Asbo application and redacting any intelligence he was sure that all event on the 7th 8th June **2014** was in fact 100% progress way and that he was sure that there were no other parties / events in the borough on them **dates**.

- I intended for my acting barrister to be able to use a copy of the magistrate's court trial transcripts on the **date** of the appeal.

1+ I also request the incorrect statement regarding that I am knowing for class A drugs to be removed as it is also incorrect.

2+

Kind regards Simon Cordell